

# KIP-754: Make Scala case class's final

- [Status](#)
- [Motivation](#)
- [Public Interfaces](#)
- [Proposed Changes](#)
- [Compatibility, Deprecation, and Migration Plan](#)
- [Rejected Alternatives](#)

*This page is meant as a template for writing a [KIP](#). To create a KIP choose Tools->Copy on this page and modify with your content and replace the heading with the next KIP number and a description of your issue. Replace anything in italics with your own description.*

## Status

**Current state:** Under Discussion

**Discussion thread:** [here](#)

**JIRA:** [KAFKA-12913](#)

## Motivation

In Scala its considered best practice that when you use case class's you create them as final rather than non final (if possible). There are many reasons behind this

- case class's are representation of [ADT's](#) which is a functional structure designed to only hold data. case class's do not typically define any behavior, they may define methods but this is typically only calculations based on existing fields inside a case class
  - If you want classic style OOP where you want inheritance then you use a standard class instead
- case class's already define a hashCode/equals/unapply who's definition becomes misleading if its overridden in a subclass. Critically code that uses a defined case class relies on the fact that the hashCode/equals/unapply definition for that case class is not arbitrarily override by a user
- Using final guarantees optimal performance for the case class
  - It is true that the JDK classes are "effectively" final if no one subclasses the class during runtime however using final guarantees this performance contract.

See [https://nrinaudo.github.io/scala-best-practices/tricky\\_behaviours/final\\_case\\_classes.html](https://nrinaudo.github.io/scala-best-practices/tricky_behaviours/final_case_classes.html) for a more in detail explanation of what can go wrong if you don't mark case class as final

## Public Interfaces

- There is a source breaking change for Kafka's Scala core if the user happened to subclass a case class. There are no binary incompatible changes

## Proposed Changes

Here are the following changes

- Any public top level case class is made final
- For case classes that are defined within a class
  - If the case class is private then nothing is done
  - If the case class is public but should have been private (i.e. no public methods/fields reference that case class) then it is made private. If said case class was also defined within another class it is moved to top level
  - If the case class is public then it is made top level and made final
- Style guide updated to mention that case class should always be defined as final

## Compatibility, Deprecation, and Migration Plan

- The only impact for users is if they would have made a subclass of a specific case class within Kafka core and used it within Kafka code.
  - As mentioned before, if a user actually did this then they were likely already doing something wrong especially if they override equals /hashCode
  - There is one valid case of subclassing which could be adding additional methods to an already existing case class (without any other behavioral changes). In this case a user can simply use implicit final class (aka monkey patching/extension methods) to just add extra methods to an already existing class.
    - This technique is well documented and supported within Scala
    - You cannot override any existing methods (i.e. hashCode/equals/unapply) using this technique, you can only add methods. This makes it much safer
- Internally in Kafka no real changes were needed apart from removing a subclassing of a case class in a single test (this was only done to adjust the pretty print of some case class if the test failed so its not critical)

- Since 3.0.0 is a major release, doing this within 3.0.0 is ideal

## Rejected Alternatives

None that I am aware of