

KIP-822: Optimize the semantics of KafkaConsumer#pause to be consistent between the two RebalanceProtocols


- [Status](#)
- [Motivation](#)
- [Public Interfaces](#)
- [Proposed Changes](#)
- [Compatibility, Deprecation, and Migration Plan](#)
- [Rejected Alternatives](#)
 - 1ConsumerCoordinator#invokePartitionsRevoked should also trigger `Fetcher` to clean up the `revokedAndPausedPartitions` message in memory when clearing the paused mark
 - 2In the `groupRebalance` process, pass the paused flag of `topicPartitions`
 - 3KafkaConsumer provides a pause method for topic level and supports regular expressions
 - 4KafkaConsumer provides a pause method for the consumer level
 - 5 Strip the paused mark of `topicpartition` from assignment

Status

Current state: *Under Discussion*

Discussion thread: [here](#)

JIRA:

 Unable to render Jira issues macro, execution error.

Motivation

When users use the `kafkaConsumer#pause(...)` method, they will maybe ignore: the pause method may no longer work, and data will be lost. In short, during the rebalance of the group, `ConsumerCoordinator#invokePartitionsRevoked(...)` will clear the paused mark on the partitions previously held by `kafkaConsumer`. However, while clearing the paused mark of partitions, the corresponding message in the memory (`Fetcher.completedFetches`) of `pausedPartitions` was not cleared, resulting in `Fetcher#fetchRecords()` still fetching the message and returning it to the customer. In fact, for consumers who use the `RebalanceProtocol.COOPERATIVE` protocol. For example, consumers who use the currently supported `PartitionAssignor: CooperativeStickyAssignor`, through code analysis, we can find that the default behavior of these consumers is to maintain the old paused flag, and consumers who use the `RebalanceProtocol.EAGER` protocol default to clear all paused marks. I suggest that the `KafkaConsumer` behavior of the two `RebalanceProtocol` should be consistent, otherwise it will cause ambiguity to the existing `KafkaConsumer#pause(...)` and cause great confusion to users.

Public Interfaces

<1> In the `ConsumerCoordinator#onJoinPrepare(...)` method, record all `pausedTopicPartitions` from the current assignment of `KafkaConsumer`;

<2> In the `ConsumerCoordinator#onJoinComplete(...)` method, use `pausedTopicPartitions` to render the latest assignment and restore the paused marks of the partitions that are still in the latest assignment.

Note: If the new assignment of `kafkaConsumer` no longer contains `topicPartitions` that have been paused before rebalance, the paused mark of these `topicPartitions` will be lost forever on the `kafkaConsumer` side, even if in a future rebalance, the `kafkaConsumer` will hold these partitions again.

Proposed Changes

1) When rebalance starts to prepare, add new logic to `ConsumerCoordinator#onJoinPrepare(...)`

Before executing `invokePartitionsRevoked(...)` and `subscriptions.assignFromSubscribed(...)`, filter out `customerPausedPartitions` from the subscriptions. assignment of the current `KafkaConsumer`, and `customerPausedPartitions` should be instance variables of `ConsumerCoordinator`.

```
customerPausedPartitions = subscriptions.pausedPartitions();
//Add new code in front of the following two codes

exception = invokePartitionsRevoked(...);
subscriptions.assignFromSubscribed(...);
```

2) After the rebalance is completed, add new logic to ConsumerCoordinator#onJoinComplete(...)

```
subscriptions.assignFromSubscribed(assignedPartitions);

//Add new code here
if (customerPausedPartitions != null && customerPausedPartitions.size() != 0){
    customerPausedPartitions.forEach(topicPartition -> {
        if(subscriptions.isAssigned(topicPartition))
            subscriptions.pause(topicPartition);
    });
    customerPausedPartitions = null;
}

// Add partitions that were not previously owned but are now assigned
firstException.compareAndSet(null, invokePartitionsAssigned(addedPartitions));
```

Compatibility, Deprecation, and Migration Plan

This is an optimization of the semantics of the kafkaConsumer pause method, so that it can behave consistently under different RebalanceProtocols

Rejected Alternatives

Here are a few other suggestions

suggestion 1: Code changes may be more complex and also introduce additional network traffic

suggestion 2-5: Aim to provide a KafkaConsumer#Pause method that is not affected by groupRebalance, and the changes are also larger, which will greatly change the existing behavior

1ConsumerCoordinator#invokePartitionsRevoked should also trigger Fetcher to clean up the revokedAndPausedPartitions message in memory when clearing the paused mark

This can prevent the Fetcher#fetchRecords() method from mistakenly thinking that revokedAndPausedPartitions is legal and returning messages. There are various checks on the partition in the fetchedRecords method.

The price of this is that if the user does not call the pause(...) method before calling the poll method next time, a new FetchMessage request may be initiated, which will cause additional network transmission.

2In the groupRebalance process, pass the paused flag of topicPartitions

In the JoinGroup request, in addition to reporting the topic that it wants to subscribe to, each consumerMember should also report its pausedTopicPartitions. The JoinGroup response received by the LeaderConsumer should contain all paused partitions under the entire group.

The latest assignment made by LeaderConsumer should maintain the paused mark and be packaged in LeaderConsumer's SyncGroup request

In this way, after groupRebalance is completed, even if a paused topicpartition is assigned to a new consumer, the new consumer can continue to maintain the paused mark.

The KafkaConsumer#paused() method can return the partitions that KafkaConsumer did not call the pause(Collection<TopicPartition> partitions) method.

3KafkaConsumer provides a pause method for topic level and supports regular expressions

KafkaConsumer#pause(Collection<String> topics)

KafkaConsumer#pause(Pattern pattern)

Similar to the paused mark in `SubscriptionState.assignment`, we need to provide a new instance variable 'TopicState' in `SubscriptionState` to store the topic-level paused mark. The 'TopicState' data structure can refer to the existing `TopicPartitionState`.

<1> 'TopicState' should not be affected by `groupRebalance`, and the paused mark in `TopicState` will not be changed during the `groupRebalance` process. `TopicState` should be the memory mark of a single `KafkaConsumer`, and it does not have to be passed to other consumers after the rebalance is completed.

<2> `pause(Collection<String> topics)`, throws `IllegalStateException` if this consumer is not currently subscribed to any topic provided

<3> `Fetcher's fetchedRecords()` and `sendFetches()` can be combined with `TopicState` considerations to decide whether to return a message to the user or initiate a Fetch request

<4> Provide `KafkaConsumer#resume(Collection<String> topics)` and `KafkaConsumer#resume(Pattern pattern)` methods to clean up topic-level paused marks.

4KafkaConsumer provides a pause method for the consumer level

`KafkaConsumer#pause()`

The existing pause method is for `topicPartition` and may sometimes be too fine-grained. And the paused mark is bound in the assignment, it is inevitable that it will not be affected by `groupRebalance`.

<1> This method may also be the user's most urgent need. After calling this `pause()` method, `kafkaConsumer` will mark itself as a paused state, and the poll method will determine the value of `isKafkaConsumerPaused` to decide whether to return a message to the user or initiate a Fetch request. This `isKafkaConsumerPaused` mark should also be held by a single `KafkaConsumer` itself.

<2> Users do not need to worry about the poll method returning data after calling the `KafkaConsumer#pause()` method.

Users can always call the poll method to avoid the following two results if `kafkaConsumer` does not call the poll method for a long time

<3> Provide `KafkaConsumer#resume()` at the `kafkaConsumer` level to clean up the paused mark of `KafkaConsumer`

5 Strip the paused mark of topicpartition from assignment

<1> The new instance variable `TopicPartitionPausedState` is used in `SubscriptionState` to store the paused mark of each `topicPartition`, and the paused mark is not stored in the assignment.

<2> In my opinion, the pause and resume methods are entirely the behavior of the `kafkaConsumer` client, and it should not be affected by `groupRebalance`. During the `groupRebalance` process, `KafkaConsumer` will not silently modify `TopicPartitionPausedState`. `TopicPartitionPausedState` can only be modified by the user's pause and resume.

At the same time, it supports the user to pause a `topicPartition` that is not in the assignment, because the paused mark is only the concept of the partition setting of the `kafkaConsumer`.

In other words, no matter whether the consumer has assigned any `topicPartitions` or not, `kafkaConsumer` can pause any `topicPartition`, even if the `topicPartition` has not been existed, it may be created(or by `addPartition`) in the future.

<3> The `resume(Collection<TopicPartition> partitions)` method, clean up the paused mark in `TopicPartitionPausedState`