

KIP-1 - Remove support of request.required.acks

- [Status](#)
- [Motivation](#)
- [New or Changed Public Interfaces](#)
- [Proposed Change](#)
- [Migration Plan, Compatibility and Deprecation](#)
- [Rejected Alternatives](#)

Status

Current state: Accepted

Discussion thread: [here](#)

JIRA: [KAFKA-1697](#)

Released: 0.8.3

Please keep the discussion on the mailing list rather than commenting on the wiki (wiki discussions get unwieldy fast).

Motivation

Until Kafka 0.8.2, if a producer wanted to make sure a message was persisted at a specific number of replicas (N) before an "ack" was returned from the broker, they would specify `request.required.acks=N`. This was a bit misleading since specifying `request.required.acks=2` would not actually protect against data loss in all cases.

In Kafka 0.8.2 we added `min.isr` feature which is a less misleading implementation of a similar behavior - users can specify `request.required.acks=-1` (ack after writing to all ISR) and also specify minimum size of ISR to guarantee a minimum number of guaranteed copies.

In this KIP we propose to remove support of `request.required.acks > 1` and return an error message to producers which request that.

New or Changed Public Interfaces

We will stop accepting `request.required.acks > 1` from clients implementing the new version of the protocol and may return a new error code.

Proposed Change

In version 0.8.2, when Kafka broker receives request with `acks > 1`, we will log a warning to server log. The warning will include `client_id`, client IP and the number of acks. This will allow cluster admins to bring clients into compliance before we remove support of this configuration.

In version 0.8.3 `request.required.acks > 1` will lead to `error_code 21` sent to the client and an error logged to server log.

Migration Plan, Compatibility and Deprecation

Users upgrading brokers to 0.8.3 will need to change their configuration and avoid `request.required.acks > 1`.

0.8.3 Java clients will get a `InvalidRequiredAcksException`. Other clients will get error code 21.

Rejected Alternatives

1. Silently replace `request.required.acks>1` with `request.required.acks=-1`. Rejected as possibly very confusing to users who will see higher latency (and possibly lower reliability) with no explanation.
2. Bump protocol version to 1 to indicate new behavior and new error code, and support both behaviors in 0.8.3. Rejected as an overkill.